

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/734,839 | 12/11/2003 | Byung-Su Kang | 51876P427 | 1987 |
| 8791 | 7590 05/20/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN | | | CHANG, JOSEPH | |
| 12400 WILS SEVENTH F | HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| ~ | LES, CA 90025-1030 | A 90025-1030 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|------|
| | 10/734,839 | KANG ET AL. | (A |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | 6 |
| | Joseph Chang | 2817 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De | ecember 2003. | | |
| | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | secution as to the merits i | is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to. | | · | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/a | | ed to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 | (d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati | on No | |
| application from the International Bureau | | · · | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . 🗖 | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) ate. | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/03,1/26/05. | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/734,839

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Los Santos, US Patent No. 6,111,472 in view of Larson et al., US Pub No. 20020130729 A1 and Ma et al. US Patent No 4,746,879.

Regarding Claim 1, De Los Santos discloses resonation means for resonating an oscillated signal by using a resonator having the photonic bandgap structure (Col. 1, lines 55-65). However, De Los Santos does not disclose oscillation means for controlling a phase of the oscillated signal by using a feedforward circuit in order to reduce phase noise. As would have been well known in the art, the feedforward circuit

as an example of Larson et al. is to control input phase of signal of interest and produces a clean output with reduction in phase noise. As for the voltage controlled oscillator, crystal oscillator can be used as voltage controlled crystal oscillator known as VCXO, as shown in the Ma et al. for tuning crystal oscillator as desire frequency.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the circuit of Larson et al. to the output of Wan et al. device because such a modification would have provided the benefit of reduction of phase noise and further the oscillator would have been obvious to modify to a VCXO to have a means to tune the crystal oscillation to a desire output frequency.

Regarding Claim 4, the method claim is inherently present because the modified structure would inherently perform the claimed method.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the best prior art of record, De Los Santos, taken alone or in combination of other references, does not teach or fairly suggest the specific arrangement of the VCO as set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lamacraft et al. discloses a VCO with microstrips.

Wang et al. discloses photonic bandgap structure resonators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2817